

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/630,562	07/30/2003	Scott Smith	760-12 DIV/CON	8643
23869	7590 04/28/2006		EXAMINER	
HOFFMANN & BARON, LLP			ISABELLA, DAVID J	
6900 JERICH SYOSSET, N	IO TURNPIKE IY 11791		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3738	
			DATE MAILED: 04/28/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•						
	Application No.	Applicant(a)	e				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/630,562	SMITH, SCOTT					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	DAVID J. ISABELLA	3738					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence add	ress				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP	PLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 M	ONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30)	DAYS,				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  apply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this com  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status		•	•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	March 2005.						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is FINAL.	his action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims			•				
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application	on.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			1 .				
8) $\boxtimes$ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		•				
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) Objected to	by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTC	) <del>.</del> 152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		,	•				
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docume</li> </ol>							
2. Certified copies of the priority docume			•				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		received in this National S	stage				
application from the International Bure							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ist of the certified copies not	received.					
			•				
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	•				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	C	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-	·152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/	(9) 円 (50.50 0 1)						

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,562

Art Unit: 3738

## Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: Figure 1; Figure 5; Figure 9; Figure 10; Figure 14; Figure 16; Figure 19; Figure 21; Figure 22; Figure 23; Figure 27; Figure 31; and Figure 33. The species are independent or distinct because though a general principle is present in each embodiment, each embodiment is patentably distinct from each other. Moreover, in some embodiments, the composite device is helically wound without overlapping structures whereas other embodiments, the composite device is wound with overlap. Applicant should elect a single embodiment and if the embodiment is unclear as to the manner of the helically wrapping, applicant should specify as to whether the device is helically wound with or without overlap.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1,6-8 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Application/Control Number: 10/630,562

\_\_\_\_

Art Unit: 3738

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

A telephone call was made to Mr. Sopko on 4/27/2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID J. ISABELLA whose telephone number is 571-272-4749. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-FRIDAY.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,562

Art Unit: 3738

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CORRINE MCDERMOTT can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DAVID J ISABELLA Primary-Examiner Art Unit 3738

1/26/06